Attorney Docket No.: H0002261

DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

The specification of which

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name;

I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled:

VARACTOR WITH IMPROVED TUNING RANGE

| (check one) | Application S | filed on Serial No nded on | | S |
|---|---|--|--|---|
| | • | have reviewed and claims, as amended by | | tents of the above-identified eferred to above. |
| | | luty to disclose inforce with Title 37, Code | | aterial to the examination of ions, §1.56(a). |
| foreign applic below any for | eation(s) for peign application | atent or inventor's c | ertificate listed bele | ed States Code §119 of any ow and have also identified ving a filing date before that |
| | | | | |
| Prior Foreign Claimed | Application(s) |) | | Priority |
| _ | Application(s) | | (Day/Month/Year F | |
| (Number) I herekapplication(s) application is first paragraph information as | by claim the b listed below not disclosed n of Title 35, Us defined in Ti | (Country) enefit under Title 35 and, insofar as the in the prior United S United States Code § tle 37, Code of Feder | , United States Cod subject matter of states application in 112, I acknowledge al Regulations §1.5 | |

I hereby appoint all attorneys associated with Honeywell Customer No. 000128 and all attorneys associated with Schiff Hardin & Waite Customer No. 26574 to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith. Address all telephone calls to Dennis C. Bremer at telephone number (612) 951-6145.

Address all correspondence to Honeywell Customer No. 000128.

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

| Full Name of First Invente | or Cheisan V. YUE | |
|----------------------------|---|--|
| Inventor's Signature | Clarelle | |
| Date | - /- /- /- | |
| | 1764 Skillman Ave., Roseville, MN 55113 | |
| Citizenship | USA | |
| | entor Mohammed A. FATHIMULLA | |
| | | |
| Date | | |
| Residence | 2408 Hannon Court, Ellicott City, MD 21042 | |
| Citizenship | USA | |
| Full Name of Third Inven | tor Eric E. VOGT | |
| Inventor's Signature | En E ST | |
| Date | 1/4/02 | |
| | 7656 Jewel Ln N, Maple Grove, MN 55311 | |
| Citizenship | USA | |
| Full Name of Fourth Inve | ntor William LALARSON | |
| Inventor's Signature // | | |
| Date 1-4-02 | | |
| | 11719 Waterford Rd., Eden Prairie, MN 55347 | |
| Citizenship | USA | |

(a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and

^{*}Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations §1.56:

prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is canceled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is canceled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§ 1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:

- (1) Prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) The closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
 - (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
 - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
 - (1) Each inventor named in the application;
 - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
 - (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.

Attorney Docket No.: H0002261

DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name;

I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled:

VARACTOR WITH IMPROVED TUNING RANGE

| | tion of which | | | | |
|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| (check one) | X is attache was file Application Ser and was amend (if applicable) | ed on rial No | | as | |
| | | | d understand the co by any amendment | | e-identified |
| I ackr this application | nowledge the dut on in accordance | y to disclose info with Title 37, Co | ormation which is r de of Federal Regul | naterial to the examations, §1.56(a).* | nination of |
| foreign appli below any fo | cation(s) for pate | ent or inventor's for patent or inv | s under Title 35, Ur certificate listed be ventor's certificate h | elow and have also | identified |
| | | | | | |
| Prior Foreign Claimed | Application(s) | | | Pric | ority |
| • | | (Country) | (Day/Month/Year | | · |
| (Number) I here application (s) application is first paragrap information a | by claim the ben listed below a not disclosed in th of Title 35, Un | nefit under Title and, insofar as the prior United itted States Code 37, Code of Fed | (Day/Month/Year 35, United States Cone subject matter of 1 States application §112, I acknowledge 1 Regulations §1 2 enational or PCT in | Filed) Yes ode §120 of any Use of each of the class in the manner proving the duty to disclose 56(a) which occurr | No nited States ims of this ided by the ose material ed between |

I hereby appoint all attorneys associated with Honeywell Customer No. 000128 and all attorneys associated with Schiff Hardin & Waite Customer No. 26574 to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith. Address all telephone calls to Dennis C. Bremer at telephone number (612) 951-6145.

Address all correspondence to Honeywell Customer No. 000128.

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

| Full Name of First In | nventor Cheisan J. YUE | <u> </u> |
|-----------------------|---|--------------|
| Inventor's Signature | | · |
| | | |
| | 1764 Skillman Ave., Roseville, MN 55113 | |
| Citizenship | USA | |
| | i Inventor Mohammed A. FATHIMULLA | |
| | Mchammed Ayus Fattimell | |
| Date 12/18/1 | of | |
| Residence | 2408 Hannon Court, Ellicott City, MD 21042 | |
| Citizenship | USA | |
| | Inventor Eric E. VOGT | |
| | 7656 Jewel Ln N, Maple Grove, MN 55311 | |
| Citizenship | • | |
| Inventor's Signature | Inventor William L. LARSON | |
| | 11719 Waterford Rd., Eden Prairie, MN 55347 | |
| Citizenship | | |

(a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and

^{*}Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations §1.56:

prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is canceled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is canceled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§ 1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:

- (1) Prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) The closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
 - (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
 - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
 - (1) Each inventor named in the application;
 - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
 - (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.